

Classical fields as coherent states and diffusion equations

Jukka Sirkka¹

and

Iiro Vilja²

Department of Physics, University of Turku

FIN-20014 Turku, Finland

Abstract

We present a derivation of the effect of the classical field configuration to the diffusion equations. Using the formalism of the thermo field dynamics we propose a systematic and consistent way to treat the classical background and to calculate its effect at any order of the perturbation theory. We treat the classical field in genuine quantum field theory formalism as a coherent state and show how the propagators and self energies are altered in this case.

¹sirkka@newton.utu.fi, ²vilja@newton.utu.fi

In statistical physics, the non-equilibrium phenomena are traditionally described by the Boltzmann equations. Classically speaking, these equations describe the transportation of particles in the background of the statistical fluid, disturbed by the collisions between particles. The Boltzmann equations can be derived in a variety of ways, the most fundamental being from the Dyson-Schwinger (DS) equations of the relativistic, statistical field theory.

If the non-equilibrium state is caused by spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), a spatially and temporally non-homogeneous classical field describes the propagation of the phase transition. In that case we would like to have a way to calculate the effects of this SSB field to equilibration of the particle gas. This can be done by working out the derivation from the equations of the quantum field theory to the Boltzmann equations and keeping in mind that the symmetry breaking field, i.e. the order parameter, is a macroscopic classical field. From the Boltzmann equations one could derive e.g. diffusion-like equations for the particle densities. However, in the present paper, to make contact with the studies of the Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4], we by-pass the derivation of the Boltzmann equations and write the diffusion equations directly from the DS equations.

The proper way to deal with classical fields in quantum field theory is to consider them as coherent states [5]. This means that the field quanta propagate coherently, in constructive interference, and form a macroscopic field. This state conserves its coherence and the expectation value of the field evolves according to classical equations of motion as far as the number of quanta in it remains large [6]. In this proper treatment all phenomena, e.g. decay of the coherent state, are naturally incorporated to the theory.

Recently there has been debate, how a classical field configuration, describing the propagation of the symmetry breaking phase transition, should be treated and what is its effect, especially in the context of the particle gas out of equilibrium in the early universe [1, 3, 4]. It seems not to be clear, how to incorporate the properties of the domain wall into the quantum field theory. Various methods from more or less heuristic [1] to a kind of semi-classical ones [2, 3] has been adopted leading to somewhat different results.

A well-argued technique to account the effects of the classical field configuration is of especial importance when considering the baryogenesis during the electroweak phase transition [7]. Near the boundary of the broken and the symmetric phases the particle gas is in non-equilibrium state, thus fulfilling one of the necessary Sakharov conditions [8] for the baryogenesis¹. The phase boundary acts then as a separator of quantum numbers. By various methods the quantum numbers are converted to baryon

¹The other conditions, baryon number violation and CP-violation, can also be fulfilled: CP-violation through the usual CKM-matrix based mechanism (or other mechanism in the case of the extensions of the Standard Model) and baryon number violation due to the sphaleron processes [9].

number transported to the broken phase and recognized as the baryon asymmetry of the universe.

We aim to show in the present letter how the classical field, which describes the symmetry breaking phases in different regions in space, can be adequately accounted using coherent states and thermo field dynamics (TFD) [10]. The coherent state is the counterpart of classical field in the quantum field theory. On the other hand, TFD gives the way to treat the non-equilibrium thermodynamics consistently [11].

In the formalism of TFD, to account the doubling of the degrees of freedom of the finite temperature field theory, one introduces the operators ξ_K , $\tilde{\xi}_K$, $\xi_K^\sharp$ and $\tilde{\xi}_K^\sharp$, where $K \equiv (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ labels the energy and the momentum. These operators obey the commutation relations

$$[\xi_K, \xi_P^\sharp] = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - K), \quad [\tilde{\xi}_K, \tilde{\xi}_P^\sharp] = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - K) \quad (1)$$

and vanishing other commutators. These are related to the operators a_K , $\tilde{a}_K$, $a_K^\dagger$ and $\tilde{a}_K^\dagger$, which are used to construct the Heisenberg operator fields and their tilde conjugates², by the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_K \\ \tilde{\xi}_K^\sharp \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} a_K \\ \tilde{a}_K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi_K^\sharp \\ -\tilde{\xi}_K \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} a_K^\dagger \\ -\tilde{a}_K \end{pmatrix}^T B^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Here the Bogoliubov matrix B has a unit determinant and leaves the form $\xi \xi^\sharp - \tilde{\xi}^\sharp \tilde{\xi}$ invariant. Thus it is not uniquely defined. Intuitively, a simple choice is the so called symmetric representation or $\alpha = 1/2$ -gauge, when $\xi_K^\sharp$ is the hermitian conjugate of ξ_K (which is not true in general). This means that the Bogoliubov matrix satisfies the relation $B^{-1} = \tau_3 B^T \tau_3$, where $\tau_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$. The benefit of the symmetric representation is that the left- and right-handed thermal vacua (see below) are conjugates. However, in the symmetric gauge there appears to be some problems with the fermionic case [13].

Another common choice for B is the so called $\alpha = 1$ -gauge [13], which we shall adopt in the present paper. Then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + n & -n \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where n is the bosonic number density. The benefit of this gauge is that also fermions can be treated consistently. Note further, that in finite temperature the energy and

² The finite temperature Hamiltonian is unbounded from below[12]. The ordinary fields represent the particle-like excitations with positive energy while the tilde conjugated fields represent hole-like excitations with negative energy.

momentum labels k_0 and $\mathbf{k}$ are not related by a dispersion relation. This is a consequence of the broken Lorentz-invariance in the thermal bath.

The Fock-space associated with the ξ -operators has the right-handed vacuum state $|R\rangle$, which is annihilated by the operators ξ_K and $\tilde{\xi}_K$; and the left-handed vacuum state $\langle L|$, which is annihilated by the operators $\xi_K^\#$ and $\tilde{\xi}_K^\#$. The one-dimensional realization of the vacua, which can be readily adapted to the more general case, is (in the $\alpha = 1$ -gauge)

$$\begin{aligned} |R\rangle &= \exp(f a^\dagger \tilde{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle \\ \langle L| &= \langle 0| \exp(a \tilde{a}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of a and $\tilde{a}$ -operators and $f = e^{-\beta\omega}$ is the statistical weight. With these definitions, statistical averages of observables correspond to the expectation values,

$$\text{Tr}(\rho \hat{O}[A, A^\dagger]) = \langle L| \hat{O}[a, a^\dagger] |R\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $\rho = \exp(-\beta\omega \hat{N})$ is the statistical operator and $\hat{N} = A^\dagger A$ is the number operator.

At this point we make a generalization to the formalism of TFD by shifting the operator A with a parameter η so that the new statistical operator is

$$\rho_\eta = \exp(-\beta\omega(A^\dagger - \bar{\eta})(A - \eta)), \quad (6)$$

where bar denotes complex conjugate. The corresponding right-handed vacuum of TFD is

$$|R, \eta\rangle = \exp(f a^\dagger \tilde{a}^\dagger + (1-f)(\eta a^\dagger + \bar{\eta} \tilde{a}^\dagger - |\eta|^2)) |0\rangle \quad (7)$$

and left-handed vacuum is left unchanged: $\langle L, \eta| = \langle L|$. Note that the shift in (6) generalizes to SSB field theory where one shifts the symmetry breaking field with the vacuum expectation value. In the zero temperature limit the state (7) reduces to

$$|\eta\rangle = \exp(\eta a^\dagger + \bar{\eta} \tilde{a}^\dagger - |\eta|^2) |0\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Hence the expression (7) can be regarded as a finite temperature generalization of a coherent state (8). This is in accordance with treating the symmetry breaking field as a coherent state.

The ξ operators, which annihilate the vacua $|R, \eta\rangle$ and $\langle L, \eta|$ are now defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \tilde{\xi}^\# \end{pmatrix} &= B \begin{pmatrix} a - \eta \\ \tilde{a}^\dagger - \eta \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \xi^\# \\ -\tilde{\xi} \end{pmatrix}^T &= \begin{pmatrix} a^\dagger - \bar{\eta} \\ -\tilde{a} + \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix}^T B^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

With these definitions, it is easy to calculate that (we are still considering the one-dimensional case)

$$\langle a^\dagger a \rangle = \frac{\langle L, \eta | a^\dagger a | R, \eta \rangle}{\langle L, \eta | R, \eta \rangle} = n + |\eta|^2, \quad (10)$$

where

$$n = \frac{f}{1-f}, \quad (11)$$

i.e. number density is a sum of the contributions of the background “field” η and quanta generated by the operator A .

Changing to the field theory, one similarly postulates the left- and right-handed vacua, which are annihilated by the raising ($\xi_K^\#$ and $\tilde{\xi}_K^\#$) and lowering (ξ_K and $\tilde{\xi}_K$) operators, respectively. These are related to the a -operators by the generalized Bogoliubov transformation (9) for every mode separately: $a_K - \eta_K \rightarrow \xi_K$. Here η_K is the Fourier transform of the background field $\phi(x)$, to be discussed below.

The Heisenberg fields are constructed in two steps. First, one introduces the so called generalized free field $\phi_K(x)$ [14]³,

$$\phi_K(x) = k^{-2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(|\mathbf{p}| - k) (e^{-ik_0 t + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_P + e^{ik_0 t - i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_P^\dagger) |_{p_0=k_0} \quad (12)$$

and corresponding tilde conjugated field $\tilde{\phi}_K$. These are hermitian fields, but generalization to more complicated cases is straightforward. In the second step one decomposes the interacting Heisenberg field $A(x)$ as [14]

$$A(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_0}{2\sqrt{2k_0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} Z^{1/2}(K) A_K(x) \quad (13)$$

so that the field A_K has a limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} A_K = \phi_K$ in Lehmann-Symanzik-Zimmermann (LSZ) sense. Note that the positive definite function Z is a generalization of the wave function normalization factor of the vacuum field theory. In principle it is fully determined by the canonical commutation relations and the LSZ-condition [14]. However, in practise its calculation is a tremendous task.

Perturbation theory is derived now from the finite temperature form of Gell-Man-Low -formula (GML) [14]: as usual, the Feynman rules are derivable from it. In the presence of the coherent state η_K it generalizes for the two point function to

$$iD(x, x') \equiv \langle L, \eta | T[\mathbf{A}(x) \mathbf{A}^\dagger(x')] | R, \eta \rangle = \langle L, \eta | T[\Phi(x) \Phi^\dagger(x') U] | R, \eta \rangle, \quad (14)$$

where

$$U = T \exp \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \hat{H}_I(t) \right) \quad (15)$$

³Our notation for ϕ_K is slightly misleading here, because it depends on energy and *square* of the momentum $\mathbf{k}$.

with the interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_I = \hat{H}[\Phi] - \hat{H}_0[\Phi]$. Here the thermal Hamiltonian is expressed as $\hat{H}[\Phi] = H - \tilde{H}$, where H is the ordinary hamiltonian governing the time evolution of the Heisenberg fields and $\tilde{H}$ is its tilde conjugate. For tilde conjugation rules, see Ref. [10].

Thermal doublet notation was introduced in Eq. (14):

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x) \\ \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

the field ϕ having the same form as the interacting field A , but A_K replaced by ϕ_K . The interacting thermal doublet field $\mathbf{A}$ is defined with a formula similar to (16).

The zeroth order propagator (which is 2×2 -matrix) can be calculated even without specifying the interaction Hamiltonian. By a straightforward application of Eqs. (9), (12), (13) and (14) one obtains

$$D^{(0)}(x, y) = D(x, y)_{\eta=0} - i\bar{\phi}_c(y)\phi_c(x)J \equiv D^{(0)}(x, x')_{\eta=0} + \delta D(x, x'), \quad (17)$$

where ϕ_c is the classical field

$$\phi_c(x) = \int \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^4} \theta(k_0) Z(K) \left(e^{-iKx} \eta_K + e^{iKx} \bar{\eta}_K \right) \quad (18)$$

and J is a constant matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The $D_{\eta=0}$ part of the propagator (17) has the same form as the usual TFD zeroth order propagator without coherent state. However, it depends on η through the functional dependence of $Z(K)$ on η .

Note, that in order to use DS equations (to derive quantum transport equations) it is necessary to assume that the zeroth order propagator is on mass-shell, in the sense that

$$(\square_x + m^2)D^{(0)}(x, x') = \delta^{(4)}(x - x')\mathbf{1}, \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is 2×2 unit matrix. This implies that η_K and $Z(K)$ are proportional to the mass shell delta function $\delta(K^2 - m^2)$. In what follows, we adopt this simple, although generally illegitimate, approximation. This approximation is physically well motivated if the particle mass is large enough compared to its (thermal) width, i.e. $\Gamma \ll m$. This makes it reasonable to speak about particles because the free path $1/\Gamma$ is long enough so that they can be regarded as "free" between interactions. Note also, that because particle current can be written in the form ⁴

$$\langle J_\phi^\mu(x) \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \langle \tilde{\phi}(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \phi(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_x^\mu - \partial_y^\mu) D^{12}(x, y) \right]_{x=y}, \quad (21)$$

⁴Here we consider complex scalar field with corresponding generalizations in the notations.

the particle number $N(x)$ in zeroth order reads

$$N(x) \equiv \langle J_\phi^0(x) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} n(\omega_k) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\phi}_c(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \phi_c(x), \quad (22)$$

where mass shell form of $Z(K)$ was used, so that $\omega_k = (k^2 + m^2)^{1/2}$. Thus the Eq. (22) produces the conventional result.

Within the above framework, it is possible to derive the quantum transport equations in the presence of the SSB field. Note that the dynamics of the interacting fields, i.e. the Heisenberg equations, are not affected by inclusion of the thermal coherent state. The thermal state (whether the coherent state included or not) contributes to the propagators only through the boundary conditions for the propagator.

Although the quantum transport equations (i.e. Boltzmann equations) can be derived from the DS equations⁵ using conventional procedures, we choose to step directly to the diffusion equation, which is in many cases sufficient. To begin with, the DS equation for the scalar propagator matrix reads

$$D(x, x') = D^{(0)}(x, x') + \int d^4y \int d^4z D(x, y) \Pi(y, z) D^{(0)}(y, x'), \quad (23)$$

where Π is the self energy (which can be calculated perturbatively)

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^{11} & \Pi^{12} \\ \Pi^{21} & \Pi^{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

There is also a similar equation to Eq. (23) with D and $D^{(0)}$ interchanged in the right hand side. Note that for the simplest case, constant background field ϕ_c , the resumming of mass (in the ϕ^4 -theory) follows easily from Eq. (23).

Expressing the particle current as in Eq. (21) and using the DS equations we obtain for the four divergence of the current

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{n}_\phi(x) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\phi(x) &= - \int d^4y \theta(x^0 - y^0) \left[\Pi^{21}(x, y) D^{12}(y, x) - \Pi^{12}(x, y) D^{21}(y, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - D^{21}(x, y) \Pi^{12}(y, x) + D^{12}(x, y) \Pi^{21}(y, x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\langle J_\phi^\mu(x) \rangle \equiv (n_\phi(x), \mathbf{j}_\phi(x))$. In deriving Eq. (25) the conditions $D^{11} + D^{22} = D^{12} + D^{21}$ and $\Pi^{11} + \Pi^{22} = -\Pi^{12} - \Pi^{21}$ were used.

Next we write corresponding equation for the fermion field ψ . The fermion propagator is defined through

$$S(x, x') = -i \langle T[\Psi(x) \bar{\Psi}(x')] \rangle, \quad (26)$$

⁵For example, see Ref. [13].

where Ψ is the thermal doublet

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x) \\ i\tilde{\psi}^\dagger(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}(x) & -i\gamma^0\tilde{\psi}(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

The left- and right-handed vacuum states are generalized in an obvious way to be the vacua with respect to the fermionic raising and lowering ξ -operators. Similarly as in the scalar case, using DS equations, one obtains for the divergence of the particle current $\langle J_\psi^\mu \rangle$,

$$\langle J_\psi^\mu(x) \rangle \equiv \langle \bar{\Psi}(x)^2 \gamma^\mu \Psi(x)^1 \rangle = \text{Tr} [\gamma^\mu S(x, y)^{21}]_{x=y}, \quad (28)$$

where trace is taken over Dirac indices,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{n}_\psi(x) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\psi(x) &= -\text{Tr} \int d^4y \theta(x^0 - y^0) \left[\Sigma^{21}(x, y) S^{12}(y, x) - \Sigma^{12}(x, y) S^{21}(y, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S^{21}(x, y) \Sigma^{12}(y, x) + S^{12}(x, y) \Sigma^{21}(y, x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where Σ is the self energy of the fermion field Ψ . Similarly as in the scalar case, we used the conditions $S^{11} + S^{22} = S^{12} + S^{21}$ and $\Sigma^{11} + \Sigma^{22} = -\Sigma^{12} - \Sigma^{21}$.

Next step would be to express the self energies in terms of the propagators (using perturbation theory) and obtain self-consistent equations for the particle currents. We do not perform this step in all its length, but choose to study only the effect of the inclusion of the coherent state to the continuity equation.

Because we do not consider fermion fields to have any coherent part, the only direct contribution to Eq. (29) appears through the scalar propagator $\delta D(x, x')$. We write the self energies in the form

$$\Pi = \Pi_{\eta=0} + \delta\Pi \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_{\eta=0} + \delta\Sigma, \quad (30)$$

where all coherent state dependence, i.e. dependence on δD , is included in $\delta\Pi$ and $\delta\Sigma$. These quantities can be calculated perturbatively from the Feynman rules. The bosonic continuity equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{n}_\phi(x) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\phi(x) &+ \int d^4y \left[\Pi^{11}(x, y) D^{12}(y, x) - \Pi^{12}(x, y) D^{22}(y, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + D^{11}(x, y) \Pi^{12}(y, x) - D^{12}(x, y) \Pi^{22}(y, x) \right]_{\eta=0} \\ &= \gamma_{\phi,cl}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the coherent field contribution reads

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\phi,cl}(x) &= -\int d^4y \left[\delta\Pi^{11}(x, y) D^{12}(y, x)_{\eta=0} + \Pi_{\eta=0}^{11}(x, y) \delta D^{12}(y, x) \right. \\ &\quad - \delta\Pi^{12}(x, y) D_{\eta=0}^{22}(y, x) - \Pi_{\eta=0}^{12}(x, y) \delta D^{22}(y, x) \\ &\quad + \delta D^{11}(x, y) \Pi_{\eta=0}^{12}(y, x) + D_{\eta=0}^{11}(x, y) \delta\Pi^{12}(y, x) \\ &\quad \left. - \delta D^{12}(x, y) \Pi_{\eta=0}^{22}(y, x) - D_{\eta=0}^{12}(x, y) \delta\Pi^{22}(y, x) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The corresponding formula for the fermionic equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{n}_\psi(x) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\psi(x) &+ \text{Tr} \int d^4y \left[\Sigma^{21}(x, y) S^{11}(y, x) - \Sigma^{22}(x, y) S^{21}(y, x) \right. \\
&- \left. S^{21}(x, y) \Sigma^{11}(y, x) + S^{22}(x, y) \Sigma^{21}(y, x) \right]_{\eta=0} \\
&= -\gamma_{\psi,cl}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\psi,cl}(x) &= -\text{Tr} \int d^4y \left[\delta \Sigma^{21}(x, y) S^{11}(y, x)_{\eta=0} + \Sigma_{\eta=0}^{21}(x, y) \delta S^{11}(y, x) \right. \\
&- \delta \Sigma^{22}(x, y) S_{\eta=0}^{21}(y, x) - \Sigma_{\eta=0}^{22}(x, y) \delta S^{21}(y, x) \\
&- \delta S^{21}(x, y) \Sigma_{\eta=0}^{11}(y, x) - S_{\eta=0}^{21}(x, y) \delta \Sigma^{11}(y, x) \\
&+ \left. \delta S^{22}(x, y) \Sigma_{\eta=0}^{21}(y, x) + S_{\eta=0}^{22}(x, y) \delta \Sigma^{21}(y, x) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The left hand sides of Eqs. (31) and (33) are the usual terms of the diffusion equation after applying Fick's law $\mathbf{j} = -D\nabla n$. In the linear approximation, the last term in l.h.s produces the damping term $-\Gamma n$. The right hand sides of these equations implement the effect of the background field ϕ_c .

One can apply Feynman rules to obtain perturbative expressions to the integral terms in Eqs. (31) and (33). For example, lowest non-vanishing perturbation calculation applied to (34) reproduces exactly the result of Ref. [3]. In these papers CP-violating effects are considered at electroweak domain wall. There the classical field is inserted to the theory as an interaction with fermion field through a Yukawa coupling $g_Y \bar{\psi} \phi \psi$ thus producing CP-violating current at two insertion level. Indeed, the same result can be obtained by noting that at one-loop level with Yukawa-coupling the correction to the fermion self energy reads ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$)

$$\delta \Sigma(x, y)^{\alpha\beta} = -g_Y^2 S_{\eta=0}^0(x, y)^{\alpha\beta} \delta D(x, y)^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{35}$$

and $\delta S = 0$. The right hand side of Eq. (31) reads in the one loop approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_\psi(x) &= -g_Y^2 \int d^4y \theta(x^0 - y^0) \left[\phi_c(x) \phi_c^\dagger(y) - \phi_c(y) \phi_c^\dagger(x) \right] \\
&\times \text{Tr} \left[S_{\eta=0}^0(x, y)^{21} S_{\eta=0}^0(x, y)^{12} - S_{\eta=0}^0(x, y)^{12} S_{\eta=0}^0(x, y)^{21} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This leads to the formula as given in Ref. [3].

In the present letter we have proposed a method for consistent treatment of classical fields in the framework of thermo field dynamics. In the method, a classical field is accounted as giving a coherent contribution to the thermal vacuum of the TFD. By using perturbation theory, the effects of the classical field can be calculated systematically. In the lowest non-trivial order the calculated contribution of the background field to the fermionic diffusion equation reproduces the result of Ref. [3]. Because the

inclusion of the coherent state to the thermal vacuum does not affect the formalism of the quantum field theory, all relevant aspects of the underlying model are automatically incorporated. In particular, possible CP, baryon or lepton number violating effects of the model are naturally accounted. This is important e.g. in cosmological considerations, where classical field configuration, i.e. coherent state, represents the regions of broken symmetry. We will return to these aspects in a forthcoming paper.

References

- [1] P. Huet and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 4578.
- [2] M. Cline, M. Joyce and K. Kainulainen, Phys. Lett. **B417** (1998) 79.
- [3] M. Carena, M. Quiros, A. Riotto, I. Vilja and C.E.M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. **B503** (1997) 387.
- [4] A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. **B518** (1998) 339; Preprint CERN-TH/98-81 [hep-ph/9803357].
- [5] See e.g.: J.R. Klauder and B.-S. Skagerstam, *Coherent states* (World scientific, 1985).
- [6] I. Vilja, Phys. Lett. **B390** (1997) 64.
- [7] M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. **B299** (1988) 797; G.F. Giudice, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 3177; M. Quiros and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B324** (1994) 181.
- [8] A.D. Sakharov, JETP. Lett. **91B** (1997) 24.
- [9] For reviews, see: A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan and A.E. Nelson, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **43** (1993) 27; M. Quiros, Helv. Phys. Act. **67** (1994) 451.
- [10] H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, *Thermo field dynamics and condensed states*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [11] See: H. Umezawa, *Equilibrium and non-equilibrium thermal physics* in *Proc. 3rd Thermal Field Theory and Their Applications, Banff 1993* eds. R. Kobes et. al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994) and references therein.
- [12] N. P. Landsman and Ch. G. van Weert, Phys. Rep. **C145** (1987) 141 and references therein.
- [13] P.A. Henning, Phys. Rep. **C253** (1995) 235.
- [14] N. P. Landsman, Ann. Phys. **186** (1988) 141; Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1990.